



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	A. Christian Tahan	Examiner:	Robert W. Morgan
Application No:	09/784,751	Art Unit:	3626
Filing Date:	02/15/2001		
Title:	Method Of Using a Global Server For Providing Patient Medical Histories To Assist In the Delivery Of Emergency Medical Services		
Atty. Docket:	XWRLD-102		

SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RULE 131 DECLARATION

Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Now comes A. Christian Tahan and deposes and says:

1. That I am submitting this Second Supplemental Rule 131 Declaration to submit evidence that the claimed invention was both conceived and reduced to practice prior to February 22, 2000, with the reduction to practice containing the conception of the claimed invention.

2. As can be seen from Appendix I, there is a block diagram of the subject invention involving the input of patient information with a patient ID via emergency personnel at a remote site to an internet database populated with information about the patient, both from the emergency site and from other internet input sources such as hospitals and web submissions.

3. That prior to February 22, 2000, the claimed invention, as described in the block diagram of Appendix I, was reduced to practice on a computer owned by the inventor, in which a patient ID was inputted to the database, with the database having

been populated with information regarding the patient, and with the database having been accessed to retrieve information about the patient, which information was subsequently submitted to the emergency personnel at the site of the emergency.

4. That as can be seen from Appendix II, what is provided is code run by a computer owned by the Inventor in which the code was written in C++, the running of the code establishing an actual reduction to practice of the invention prior to February 22, 2000.

5. That prior to February 22, 2000, as illustrated in Appendix A, what is shown is code for building the database by building tables in an array structure that provides structure for EMT use, healthcare provider/insurer use and the introduction of patient information from a website or scanned document.

6. That prior to February 22, 2000, the structure for EMT use of the claimed system is illustrated in the diagram of Appendix B.

7. That prior to February 22, 2000, the structure for the use of the system by healthcare professional and insurers is shown in the diagram of Appendix C.

8. That prior to February 22, 2000, the structure for patient information input to the databases is illustrated by the drawing of Appendix D.

9. That prior to February 22, 2000, as illustrated in Appendix E, code is provided for the input of patient information obtained with an identification code at the site of the patient in distress, the code being written in C++.

10. That prior to February 22, 2000, as illustrated in Appendix F, a flowchart is provided showing the registration procedure for a user interacting with the database, indicating how the individual pages are coded to the CDI/Pearl script, also indicating how the pages communicate with each other, with (1) indicating obtaining data from a registration page from the user's browser, (2) checking the chosen login name against a lookup database to ensure that it is not already taken, (3) indicating that if the login name is already used, then the user is sent back to the registration page to request a new login, otherwise an XWRLD ID is created, (4) indicating the updating of both database pages, and (5) indicating the creation of an HTML page to confirm registration and to issue the ID.

11. That prior to February 22, 2000, as illustrated in Appendix G, what is shown is code written in C++ relating to the uploading of patient information from the remote site to the database.

12. That prior to February 22, 2000, as illustrated in Appendix H, code is written in C++ to indicate the retrieval of the patient's file from the database for use by emergency personnel, hospital personnel or insurers.

13. That the documentation of Appendices I and II indicate the conception of the claimed invention and the reduction-to-practice thereof prior to February 22, 2000 through the running of the indicated code in Applicant's computer.

14. That since the reduction-to-practice involves the conception, there is no necessity to show diligence and that the simultaneous showing of the conception and the reduction to practice requires the removal of the Schoenberg and Zak references.

15. That with the removal of the Schoenberg and Zak references, Applicant requests that the subject patent application and the claims thereof be allowed.

I further declare that all the statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.


A. Christian Tahan

Date: July 01, 2008